PEN• Guidelines for Third Party Tool/Resource (T/R) Approval

Purpose: To ensure that third party T/Rs are valuable additions to PEN, by considering the following criteria for new and current T/Rs.

Guidelines

PEN client handouts are the preferred resources, but when these are not available PEN administrators need to use clinical judgment when reviewing external resources.

Generally, the more global or widely applicable a resource is, the better, however country or even region specific resources can be added to PEN.

Critical criteria are the key points that need to be met for a resource to be added on PEN. Critical criteria are bolded in the table below and include:

- consistency with PEN_® evidence
- appropriate content
- sponsorship or contain links to sponsored information.

Additional (not critical) criteria can be used when making a decision on the usability (and readability) of the T/R for users, in the T/R description and in classifying the T/R within PEN. It is not expected that the T/R will meet all of the additional criteria.

Exceptions are sometimes made, particularly if no other T/R exists on the topic. If in doubt, check with the PEN. Resource Managers.

Topic Area	Criteria
PEN supporting evidence	Does the T/R currently exist in PEN•? Search on the administrative side so that each portal's T/Rs can be viewed. Ensure that the T/R is not part of an existing collection. If the T/R is in PEN•, is the new T/R an updated version? (If it is, then the existing T/R would be updated.) If it does not exist in PEN•, is the new information better than what already exists? Should it replace a resource in PEN• or be added as an additional Related Tool and Resource? An example would be a resource with similar content, but from another country of origin. Is the information in the T/R current and congruent with the evidence in PEN•? Is there evidence missing in PEN• related to the content of this tool i.e. is there a practice question or key practice point PEN• needs to answer? Has the question already been assigned? Can the T/R be added before the evidence-based answer is posted? If content is not covered in PEN, is the TR evidence-based, is the author
Sponsorship	credible? Does the T/R meet the PEN• Sponsorship Policy Guidelines?
Access considerations	 If the T/R is housed on a website: Is the website appropriate (information is unbiased); credible (author's qualifications are sound and preferably peer reviewed); and directly related to KP content and is current (last 3-5 years)?

Topic Area	Criteria
•	If the T/R is a PDF, is there a URL to use instead? (URLs are easier for
	updating and identifying broken links.)
	If an OPEN or CPEN T/R, is there any information specific to the call centres
	that needs to be removed? (instruction to call centre RDs, contact info)
	Is there information specific to PEN _* that needs to be added?
Design	Does the T/R have a date, organization logo identifying where it is from?
considerations	Is the T/R national/global in scope? Or is the T/R specific to one geographic
	area within a country? Is it appropriate just for Canada? Is it appropriate for Global PEN-?
	Is it clear who the intended audience is for the T/R?
	Does it provide useful/relevant information for the intended audience?
	Is the layout clear, good flow of information, grammatically correct, no typos?
	Can the T/R be easily loaded and printed?
	Does the user have to be registered to the site to access the tool? Is there a user
	fee or a subscription required? Note: it is OK to use tools that require any of
	these but it should be noted in the description of the tool.
	Is the T/R available in other languages and/or culturally adapted?
	Does the T/R have an option for the visually impaired?
	Are all the links in the T/R active?
Resources for	For T/Rs for professionals:
Professionals	• Is the information source referenced?
	• Is the T/R better added under Key Resources for Professionals in the related KP Background rather than as a Related T/R (e.g. a separately loaded T/R)?
Resources for	For T/Rs for clients:
Clients	Does the language/reading level match the audience? (Client T/R requiring a
	high level of literacy may be fine, but the literacy level needs to be noted in the description. (Aim for reading levels of about grade 5 to 9)
	Is there an advisory to the effect that health information should not be
	taken as health advice and does not substitute consultation with a health
	professional?
Corporate	T/Rs that have been developed by the corporate sector or other
sector	organizations/agencies external to PEN may be eligible for inclusion in PEN if
developed T/Rs	they are reviewed through an independent peer review process and deemed congruent with the evidence in PEN ₀ when assessed by the PEN/CC-PEN
	Resource Managers and/or Knowledge Pathway author. Preference will always
	be given to T/Rs that have not been developed by corporate interests, should
	similar tools exist. If these T/R contain names/logos of products or services the following considerations should be used for their assessment in PEN:
	• if the T/R is a database listing of products or services, its goal should be to
	assist the consumer and/or health provider in making healthy food choices;
	should be inclusive and as national in scope as possible, or at least be
	broader than one region; product or company; and
	if there is no other resource that is available and there is a high user need
	for the information.
	Examples currently in PEN: Nutrition Month resources and Diabetes Products
	and Medications http://www.diabetes.ca/documents/about-
	diabetes/CDA_ConsmrGuide.pdf