

## References:

1. Canadian Institutes of Health Research. Knowledge translation. 2008 [cited 2016 15 Apr]. Available from: <http://www.cihr-irsc.gc.ca/e/26574.html> - defining
2. Gray GE, Gray LK. Evidence-based medicine: applications in dietetic practice. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 2002;102:1263-72. Abstract available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12792624>
3. Grandage KK, Slawson DC, Shaughnessy AF. When less is more: a practical approach to searching for evidence-based answers. *J Med Libr Assoc.* 2002 Jul;90(3):298-304. Abstract available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12113514>
4. Personal communication. R Hayward. Centre for Health Evidence. 2004.
5. Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care. New grades for recommendations from the Canadian Task Force of Preventive Health Care. *CMAJ.* 2003;169(3):207-8. Citation available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12900479>
6. Upshur, REG. Are all evidence-based practices alike? Problems in the ranking of evidence. *CMAJ.* 2003;169(7):672-3. Citation available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14517125>
7. Schremann HJ, Best D, Vist G, Oxmann AD; GRADE Working Group. Letters, numbers, symbols and words: how to communicate grades of evidence and recommendations. *CMAJ.* 2003;169(7):677. Abstract available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14517128>
8. Ebell MH, Siwek J, Weiss BD, Woolf SH, Susman J, Ewigan B, et al. SORT: a patient centered approach to grading the literature. *Am Fam Physician.* 2004;69(3):548-56. Abstract available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14971837>

9. GRADE Working Group. Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. BMJ. 2004;328:1490.  
Available from:  
<http://bmj.bmjournals.com/cgi/content/full/328/7454/1490>
10. Evaluation of Practice-based Evidence in Nutrition [PEN].  
Final Report to the Canadian Council on Learning. April  
2009